

Neuropsych2QB

TOWARDS A QUANTITATIVE BIOLOGICAL
APPROACH FOR NEUROPSYCHIATRY

Draft Proposal

BACKGROUND

- Problem:
 - Neuropsychiatric drug discovery has almost completely stalled
 - Breakthroughs occur almost entirely serendipitously
 - Understanding is usually post hoc rather than from hypothesis
- There are a number of explanations and factors but:
 - Diagnosis of neuropsychiatry conditions is still based on *qualitative* assessment of symptoms, defined by convention, rather than *quantitative* analysis of aberrant biology

Truism: Drugs work on biological substrates not symptoms

NEED

- Both psychotic and affective disorders still present significant issues
 - speed and level of treatment response, relapse, resistance, compliance, side-effect profile etc.
- Treatments for other aspects of neuropsychiatry, e.g. cognitive dysfunction, have only minimal effect
- There are no licensed treatments for neuropsychiatric disorders associated with dementia
- Many of the patient groups are growing in size with the increasing aged population. Neurodegenerative retardation would exacerbate

CHALLENGES

- Industry, and academe, needs new targets and rationales to initiate truly novel neurosymptomatic research & drug discovery
 - Development of biologically based diagnostic criteria would enhance:
 - Identifying criteria to choose the right treatment for the right patient
 - Better and more consistent stratification for clinical trials
 - Identify new routes for registration
 - Reverse and forward translation
-

OPPORTUNITY

- A wide range of technologies & opportunities for determining brain function and status are emerging including:
 - EEG, evoked responses, MEG, Imaging – MRI & PET, Neuropsychology testing, Improved Blood biomarker platforms etc.
 - Ability to collect, collate, analyse and interpret multi-site, multi-factor data sets
 - Many clinical indices now have direct pre-clinical homologues
 - Neuropsychiatric genetics
 - Well defined clinical cohorts
 - Understanding of neural circuits and the connectome

CONCEPT

- A battery of techniques would be implemented to assess subjects in an unbiased manner both clinically and by homology pre-clinically
 - One or more traditional symptom domains (e.g. psychosis) would be used to identify two patient groups for comparison (e.g. dementia and schizophrenia)
 - Post-hoc analysis would identify:
 - a minimal diagnostic set and rational criteria for stratification
 - differences in underlying biological substrates
 - allow reverse translation to validate pre-clinical protocols
-

ARCHITECTURE

- The successful consortia would selected 1, 2 or 3 symptom constellations, or domains that should be widely present in most disorders, neuropsychiatric and degenerative
 - Thus if biological substrates were confirmed these would translate in many areas.
- Examples that could be recruitment and offer reverse translation:
 - Cognition (Working memory, Episodic, Reasoning and Problem solving, Attention), Reward, Stress, Affect, Agitation, Perception and sensory processing.
- Appropriate study cohorts of patients could stem from disease populations, for which selected symptom domains are described, such as:
 - Neurodegenerative diseases, Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease or FTLD
 - Affective disorders such as Major Depressive Disorder/Treatment Resistant Depression or Schizophrenia.

APPLICANT CONSORTIUM

Expected Experience & Capabilities

- A range of clinical Imaging and Biomarker platforms
- Statistics and study design
- Clinical study support
- IT – Data communication and data basing
- Pre-clinical imaging and biomarkers
- Pre-clinical technologies
- Regulatory expertise
- Project management

Valuable Assets

- Relevant existing datasets and existing clinical studies
- Relevant Clinical cohorts and registries
- Relevant bio-banks and bio-samples
- Involvement of patient organizations and its ethical considerations

PROJECT & EFPIA CONSORTIUM

- Project planned for 3 years, but extension of 2 years envisaged if progress in first phase warrants
- Proposal still at draft stage and subject to change during final stages of consultation
- Consortium
 - Eli Lilly & Boehringer-Ingelheim (Hugh Marston & Bernd Sommer co-leads), Lundbeck, Roche, Pfizer and Novartis
 - Discussion with equipment suppliers on going



WORKFLOW

- WP1 Consortium management and governance
- WP2 Scientific consensus (Clinical/Pre-clinical) on study designs, instruments and methodology
- WP3 Data management and statistics - to allow integrated analysis of data set
- WP4 Clinical study implementation and operations
- WP5 Clinical harmonization of experimental approaches
 - Imaging Electrophysiology Biosample analysis Neuropsychological
- WP6 Pre-clinical harmonization of experimental approaches
 - Imaging Electrophysiology Biosample analysis Neuropsychological
- WP7 Engagement with regulatory groups, agencies and other stakeholders
- WP8 Dissemination and communication