

## IMI2 JU responses to the Independent Observer's Report

**Call ID: H2020-JTI-IMI2-2018-14-two-stage**

**IMI2 14<sup>th</sup> Call for Proposals**

**Stage 1 evaluation**

**Date of evaluation: 3-6 July 2018**

**Name of the observers: Charlotte Andersdotter**

### ***Summary of Recommendations***

*The IMI2 JU evaluation process and procedures have an excellent quality and the IMI2 evaluation team is highly professional, competent and well organised.*

*One suggestion however to consider could be to have dedicated rapporteurs to do the pre-drafting of the consensus report, based on the IERs and then take part of the consensus meeting to finalise the report. In this way, the experts and moderator could concentrate on discussing the proposal and come to conclusions. The rapporteur would not be one of the experts but someone who has very good drafting skills taking the responsibility of writing up a high-quality report. This is a system that is used in other areas with good results in terms of ensuring a smooth consensus phase and taking pressure from experts and moderators so that they can concentrate on their important tasks.*

### ***IMI2 JU responses to the recommendations***

IMI2 JU acknowledges the remarks made by the independent observer, indicating that the evaluation process and procedures have an excellent quality and the evaluation team is highly professional, competent and well organised.

IMI2 JU is takes note of the recommendation regarding the use of dedicated rapporteurs in drafting the consensus reports. IMI2 JU recognises the potential benefits of using dedicated rapporteurs in the process and will analyse the best way of implementing this change without having an impact on other aspects of the evaluation process.