

Project No.:	Project Acronym:

Evaluation Form for proposals to explore new scientific opportunities in on-going IMI JU collaborative research projects

1. Scientific excellence and soundness

- 1a. Have the applicants successfully justified the basis for their request to explore new scientific opportunities and their objectives, its scientific & technological excellence and foundation on progress achieved by the project.
- 1b. Have the applicants successfully demonstrated that the new scientific opportunities do not overlap with activities that are part of the original work-plan.
- 1c. Have the applicants properly demonstrated the added value that the new scientific opportunities would provide to the project.
- 1d. Where applicable, have the applicants appropriately addressed ethical issues.

[Evaluators comments to be inserted here.]	
	C

Score:/5

Weight: 5
Weighted score:/25

Threshold: 19/25

2. Feasibility of implementation plan
2a.Adequate quality of the work plan, including timelines, milestones & deliverables and the integration of the new scientific opportunities in the overall project plan.
2b. Have the participants adequately described how the consortium as a whole will be able to achieve the objectives and have they justified any impact on the original work-plan. If applicable, have the applicants adequately justified the inclusion of new participant(s), their complementarity with the existing participants and the unique feature of the enlarged consortium.
[Evaluators comments to be inserted here.]
Score:/5 Weight: 3 Weighted score:/15 Threshold: 10/15
3. Justification of additional resources requested
3a. Are the resources requested by the applicants justified and in line with the objectives, deliverables and milestones.
3b. Are the resources requested by the applicants adequately integrated with the additional EFPIA in-kind contribution.
[Evaluators comments to be inserted here.]
Score:/5 No weight No threshold

4. Potential impact of the work		
How will the new scientific opportunities enhance the original pr	oject witi	h regard to:
 Science and technology 		
European competitiveness		
Society and patients		
[Evaluators comments to be inserted here.]		
		Score:/5 No weight
		No threshold
5. Ethical Issues	NO □	YES 🗆
Does this proposal contain ethical issues that may need further attention?		
[Evaluators please identify these issues here]		
OVERALL EVALUATION	1	
[Evaluators recommendations to be inserted here.]		
	.	16
	Ioto	al Score:/50